# Assessing good practice and gaps in Commonwealth sexual offences legislation

Across the Commonwealth, outdated sexual offences laws fail to protect women, children, LGBT people and people with disability. The Human Dignity Trust has developed a set of good practice criteria, outlining what is necessary for these laws to be human rights compliant. Based on those criteria, this map provides a snapshot of positive developments and critical issues in sexual offences legislation in the Commonwealth.



## Positive Developments

- All 5 jurisdictions expressly criminalise marital rape • No jurisdiction in this region:
- » criminalises consensual sexual activity with a person
- criminalises consensual same-sex sexual activity
- uses derogatory or stigmatising language to describe people with disability or consensual same-sex
- has a discriminatory age of consent laws for consensual same-sex sexual activity

# Critical Issues

### Of the 5 jurisdictions in this region:

- » none meet all the good practice criteria
- » only 1 specifies there is no requirement for evidence of resistance to prove absence of consent
- » 1 does not explicitly criminalise all non-consensual
- » 2 do not have adequate child sexual offences
- » 2 require corroboration and permit evidence of a victim's prior sexual conduct
- » 2 use moralistic terms in the law, e.g. gratifying lust, carnal connection, defilement, lewd acts, etc.

# Europe

Cyprus, Malta, and the United Kingdom (England, Wales, Northern Ireland and Scotland)

## Positive Developments

- Of the 7 jurisdictions in this region:
- » none use derogatory or stigmatising language to

5 do not allow a defence of consent to child sexual

5 do not criminalise consensual sexual activity with a

person with disability

**Critical Issues** 

### Of the 7 jurisdictions in this region:

- » none meet all the good practice criteria
- » only 1 jurisdiction has gender-neutral sexual assault offences
- 6 of the 7 jurisdictions:
- » do not expressly criminalise marital rape
- » do not define 'consent' as free and voluntary
- » permit evidence of a victim's prior sexual activity » criminalise consensual same-sex sexual activity
- » use discriminatory and stigmatising terms to describe same-sex sexual activity e.g. outrages on decency or acts of indecency, unnatural offences, against the order of nature, etc.
- 5 of the 7 jurisdictions:
- » do not criminalise all non-penetrative, non-consensual physical sexual acts
- » do not have gender-neutral child sexual assault offences
- » use moralistic terms in sexual assault laws, such as: intent to outrage or insult [her] modesty, intent to ravish, immoral character, etc.
- 4 of the 7 jurisdictions:
- » require corroboration
- » have inadequate child sexual assault offences

**Jurisdictions:** Bangladesh, Brunei Darussalum, India, Malaysia, Pakistan,

## Asia

Singapore and Sri Lanka

# Pacific

» 6 use derogatory and stigmatising language to describe

Positive Developments

> 15 do not allow a defence of consent to child sexual

> 14 have gender-neutral sexual assault offences and

» 16 do not have adequate child sexual assault offences

» 11 do not criminalise all non-consensual sexual acts

» 10 use moralistic terms in sexual assault laws, such

as indecent act, indecent manner, immoral purposes,

» only 9 specify there is no requirement for evidence of

» 8 criminalise consensual sexual activity with a person

» 7 use discriminatory and stigmatising terms to describe same-sex sexual activity e.g. buggery, sodomy, gross

» 6 criminalise criminalise same-sex sexual activity

indecency, unnatural offences, against the order of nature,

defilement, carnal knowledge, offences against morality,

13 define 'consent' as free and voluntary

• Of the 18 jurisdictions in this region:

**Critical Issues** 

with disability

indecent practices, etc.

people with disability

Of the 18 jurisdictions in this region:

» none meet all the good practice criteria

resistance to prove absence of consent

Jurisdictions: Australia (Australian Capital **Territory, New South** Wales, Northern Territory, Queensland, South Australia, Tasmania, Victoria, Western Australia), Fiji, Kiribati, Nauru, New Zealand, Papua New Guinea, Samoa, Solomon Islands, Tonga, Tuvalu and Vanuatu

# Africa

Jurisdictions: Botswana, Cameroon, Eswatini, Gambia, Ghana, Kenya, Lesotho, Malawi, Mauritius, Mozambique Namibia, Nigeria (Southern & Northern **States and Federal** Capital Territory), Rwanda, Seychelles, Sierra Leone, South Africa, Tanzania, **Uganda and Zambia** 



### Positive Developments

### Of the 21 jurisdictions in this region:

- one meets all the good practice criteria South Africa
- 13 have gender-neutral child sexual assault offences 12 do not allow a defence of consent to child sexual

## Critical Issues

### Of the 21 jurisdictions in this region:

- » 19 use moralistic terms in the law, e.g. defilement, carnal knowledge, insulting modesty, indecent assault etc. 13 do not expressly criminalise marital rape
- » 18 do not define 'consent' as free and voluntary
- » 17 permit evidence of victim's prior sexual activity
- » 16 criminalise consensual same-sex sexual activity » 14 have inadequate child sexual assault offences
- » 14 use discriminatory and stigmatising terms to describe same-sex sexual activity e.g. against the order of nature, unnatural offences, buggery, etc.
- » 11 criminalise consensual sexual activity with a person with disability in all cases
- » 11 do not criminalise all non-consensual sexual acts » 10 use derogatory and stigmatising language to describe people with disability e.g. idiot, imbecile, sub-normal etc.
- » 9 require corroboration







Caribbean

Jurisdictions: Antigua

Barbados, Belize,

Grenada, Guyana,

Canada, Dominica,

Jamaica, Saint Kitts

& Nevis, Saint Lucia,

Saint Vincent and the

Grenadines, Trinidad

and Tobago

& Americas

and Barbuda, Bahamas,



» one meets all the good practice criteria - Canada » 9 have gender-neutral child sexual assault offences » 7 have gender neutral sexual assault offences and do not allow a defence of consent to child sexual assault offences

**X** Critical Issues

- 11 of the 13 jurisdictions:
- » do not define 'consent' as free and voluntary » use moralistic terms in sexual assault laws e.a.
- knowledge, immoral purpose, indecent acts, etc. » use derogatory and stigmatising language to describe people with disability e.g. mentally subnormal, idiot,

defilement, natural or unnatural intercourse, carnal

- imbecile, retardation, mental disorder, etc. » use discriminatory and stigmatising terms to describe same-sex sexual activity e.g. abominable crime, infamous crime, unnatural connection, buggery, gross
- 10 of the 13 jurisdictions:

indecency, etc.

- » permit evidence of victim's prior sexual conduct
- 8 of the 13 jurisdictions: » do not criminalise all non-consensual sexual acts
- » do not have adequate child sexual assault offences
- » criminalise consensual same-sex sexual activity
- » do not expressly criminalise marital rape » criminalise consensual sexual activity with a person with a disability

More information can be found in the five regional reports that make up Next Steps Towards Reform: Assessing good practice and gaps in Commonwealth sexual offences legislation. https://www.humandignitytrust.org/hdt-resources/